# Bridging the $\mu$ Hz gap in the GVVs spectrum Diego Blas Temiño





2107.04063 207.04601 2406.02306

Jenkins, Bourguin, Foster, Hees, Herrero-Valea, Xue; Zwick, Souyer, O'Neill, Derdzinski, Saha, D'Orazio, Kelley











### Possible backgrounds & ideas at $\mu$ Hz: a rich band

# Possible backgrounds & ideas at $\mu$ Hz: a rich band

**PTA23** 



What's the origin of the 2023 detection? How does it change at high freq?

10<sup>5</sup>

### Other astro signals



Sesana et al. 1908.11391

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(f) = \frac{1}{\rho_{\rm crit}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{\rm d}}{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{h})}$$
$$\rho_{\rm GW} \sim M_P^2 \omega^2 h_{GV}^2$$
$$\rho_c = 1.2 \times 10^{11} \frac{M_V}{\mathrm{M_J}}$$



## **Backgrounds** from fundamental physics









## Possible backgrounds & ideas at $\mu$ Hz: a rich band





2019 Aug 29 [astro-ph.IM] ٧l 391 arXiv:1908.1

> Alberto Sesana\* Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca alberto.sesana@unimib.it

Natalia Korsakova Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur natalia.korsakova@oca.eu

# i) µAres: LISA-like concept

Voyage 2050 White Paper

Unveiling the Gravitational Universe at µ-Hz Frequencies



### The µAres detection landscape



# ii) Ranging of asteroids?



### Fedderke et al 2112.11431

e.g. Moore et al 1707.06239 Mihaylov et al. 1804.00660

Klioner 1710.11474

Garcia-Bellido et al. 2104.04778

### Monitoring many stars (GAIA or better)



Fedderke et al 2204.07677

### Stellar interferometry

Çalışkan et al 2312.03069

### iii) Future astrometry?





at characteristic strains around  $h_c \sim 10^{-17} \times (\mu \text{Hz}/f_{\text{GW}})$ . The astrometric angular precision required to see these sources is  $\Delta \theta \sim h_c$  after integrating for a time  $T \sim 1/f_{\rm GW}$ . We show that jitter in the photometric center of WD of this type due to starspots is bounded to be small enough to permit this high-precision, small-N approach. We discuss possible noise arising from stellar reflex motion induced by orbiting objects and show how it can be mitigated. The only plausible technology able to achieve the requisite astrometric precision is a space-based stellar interferometer. Such a future mission with few-meter-scale collecting dishes and baselines of  $\mathcal{O}(100 \,\mathrm{km})$  is sufficient to achieve the target precision. This collector size is broadly in line with the collectors proposed for

# iv) Atomic interferometry in space: AEDGE

### Badurina et al 2108.02468 (AION)



Abou El-Neaj et al 1908.00802 Graham et al 1206.0818 (MAGIS)



 $\Delta\phi\sim\omega Lh$ 









## The most optimistic future...



f [Hz]

## The most optimistic future...





10<sup>-9</sup> 10<sup>-7</sup>

*f* [Hz]



10<sup>-5</sup> 0.001

### Is this all we can do in this band?



 $f \sim \mu \text{Hz}$ 

few days

### $\lambda \sim 10^{11} \,\mathrm{km} \sim 66 \,\mathrm{AU}$

Natural units for Solar System!



### **Binary resonance:** a brief history discussed by Misner, Thorne, and Wheeler...

### The Relative Motions of Two Freely Falling Bodies 1.

As a gravitational wave passes two freely falling bodies, their proper separation oscillates (Figure 37.3). This produces corresponding oscillations in the redshift and round-trip travel times for electromagnetic signals propagating back and forth between the two bodies. Either effect, oscillating redshift or oscillating travel time, could be used in principle to detect the passage of the waves. Examples of such detectors are the Earth-Moon separation, as monitored by laser ranging [Fig. 37.2(a)]; Earth-spacecraft separations as monitored by radio ranging; and the separation between two test masses in an Earth-orbiting laboratory, as monitored by redshift measurements or by laser interferometry. Several features of such detectors are explored in exercises 37.6 and 37.7. As shown in exercise 37.7, such detectors have so low a sensitivity that they are of little experimental interest.

investigated more recently by Lam Hui et al, PRD (2013), similar ideas used to search for dark matter by Blas et al, PRL (2017) time for a closer look?

... but that was 50 years ago!



### **Intuitive idea (from '60s)** Influence of a GW on a binary system (e.g. non-relativistic)

Newtonian potential



 $f \sim \mu \text{Hz}$ 

few days

$$\ddot{r}^{i} + \frac{GM}{r^{3}}r^{i} = \delta^{ik}\frac{1}{2}\ddot{h}_{kj}r^{j}$$
Initial

$$\ddot{r}^i + \frac{GM}{r^3}r^i =$$

Better characterised for its 6 Newtonian constants of motion

- period *P*, eccentricity *e*: size and shape of orbit
- Inlination *I*, ascending node *Ω*:
   *orientation* in space
- pericentre ω,
   mean anomaly at epoch ε:
   radial and angular phases





for generic perturbation:

 $\delta \ddot{m{r}} = r(\mathcal{F}_r \hat{m{r}} + \mathcal{F}_ heta \hat{m{ heta}} + \mathcal{F}_\ell \hat{m{ heta}}), \quad \hat{m{ heta}}$ 

$$\begin{split} \dot{P} &= \frac{3P^2\gamma}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{e\sin\psi\mathcal{F}_r}{1+e\cos\psi} + \mathcal{F}_{\theta} \right], \\ \dot{e} &= \frac{\dot{P}\gamma^2}{3Pe} - \frac{P\gamma^5\mathcal{F}_{\theta}}{2\pi e(1+e\cos\psi)^2}, \\ \dot{I} &= \frac{P\gamma^3\cos\theta\mathcal{F}_{\ell}}{2\pi(1+e\cos\psi)^2}, \\ \dot{\varphi} &= \frac{\tan\theta}{\sin I}\dot{I}, \\ \dot{\omega} &= \frac{P\gamma^3}{2\pi e} \left[ \frac{(2+e\cos\psi)\sin\psi\mathcal{F}_{\theta}}{(1+e\cos\psi)^2} - \frac{\cos\psi\mathcal{F}_r}{1+e\cos\psi} \right] - \cot\psi \\ \dot{\varphi} &= -\frac{P\gamma^4\mathcal{F}_r}{\pi(1+e\cos\psi)^2} - \gamma(\cos I\dot{\varphi} + \dot{\omega}), \end{split}$$



Ω

 $\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}} + \frac{GM}{r^2} \hat{\boldsymbol{r}} = \delta \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}.$ 

for generic perturbation:

 $\delta \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}} = r(\mathcal{F}_r \hat{\boldsymbol{r}} + \mathcal{F}_{ heta} \hat{\boldsymbol{ heta}} + \mathcal{F}_{\ell} \hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}),$ 



$$\begin{split} \dot{P} &= \frac{3P^2\gamma}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{e\sin\psi\mathcal{F}_r}{1+e\cos\psi} + \mathcal{F}_{\theta} \right], \\ \dot{e} &= \frac{\dot{P}\gamma^2}{3Pe} - \frac{P\gamma^5\mathcal{F}_{\theta}}{2\pi e(1+e\cos\psi)^2}, \\ \dot{I} &= \frac{P\gamma^3\cos\theta\mathcal{F}_{\ell}}{2\pi(1+e\cos\psi)^2}, \\ \dot{\varphi} &= \frac{\tan\theta}{\sin I}\dot{I}, \\ \dot{\omega} &= \frac{P\gamma^3}{2\pi e} \left[ \frac{(2+e\cos\psi)\sin\psi\mathcal{F}_{\theta}}{(1+e\cos\psi)^2} - \frac{\cos\psi\mathcal{F}_r}{1+e\cos\psi} \right] - \cot\psi \\ \dot{\varphi} &= -\frac{P\gamma^4\mathcal{F}_r}{\pi(1+e\cos\psi)^2} - \gamma(\cos I\dot{\varphi} + \dot{\omega}), \end{split}$$



For the SGWB...Fokker-Planck approach
$$\ddot{r}^i + \frac{GM}{r^3}r^i = \delta^{ik}\frac{1}{2}\ddot{h}_{kj}r^j$$
deterministic $\dot{X}_i(\boldsymbol{X},t) = V_i(\boldsymbol{X}) + \Gamma_i(\boldsymbol{X},t)$ stochastic

we move from dynamics of the variable to dynamics of the distribution W(X)



$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = -\partial_i \left( D_i^{(1)} W \right) + \partial_i \partial_j \left( D_{ij}^{(2)} W \right)$$
with  $\partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial X_i$ 

$$D_i^{(1)} = V_i + \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_t^{t+\tau} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \left\langle \Gamma_j \left( \boldsymbol{x}, t'' \right) \partial_j \Gamma_i \left( \boldsymbol{x}, t' \right) \right\rangle.$$

$$D_{ij}^{(2)} = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_t^{t+\tau} dt' \int_t^{t+\tau} dt'' \left\langle \Gamma_i \left( \boldsymbol{x}, t' \right) \Gamma_j \left( \boldsymbol{x}, t'' \right) \right\rangle.$$



## Secular effects (accumulate with time)



Blas&Jenkins Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 10, 101103

- track distribution function W(X, t) of orbital elements  $X = (P, e, I, \Omega, \omega, \varepsilon)$
- evolves through *Fokker-Planck eqn*.

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \left( D_i^{(1)} W \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} \left( D_j^{(1)} W \right)$$

• drift and diffusion coefficients (averaged over orbits)  $D_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{X}) = V_i(\mathbf{X}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{n,i}(\mathbf{X}) \Omega_{gw}(n/P)$  $D_{ij}^{(2)}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n,ij}(\mathbf{X}) \Omega_{gw}(n/P)$ 

n=1



## Secular effects (accumulate with time)



Blas&Jenkins Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 10, 101103

- track distribution function W(X, t) of orbital elements  $X = (P, e, I, \Omega, \omega, \varepsilon)$
- evolves through *Fokker-Planck eqn*.

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \left( D_i^{(1)} W \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} \left( D_j^{(1)} W \right)$$

• drift and diffusion coefficients (averaged over orbits)  $D_i^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{X}) = V_i(\boldsymbol{X}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{n,i}(\boldsymbol{X}) \Omega_{gw}(n/P)$   $D_{ij}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n,ij}(\boldsymbol{X}) \Omega_{gw}(n/P)$ 

n=1



### timing of binary pulsars



### Two probes $f \sim \mu \text{Hz}$ few days lunar and satellite laser ranging





Semi-latus Rectum Perturbation [cm]



# Our estimates (solid: today; dashed 2038)



Blas&Jenkins Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 10, 101103

Satellites (*P*~hours)
 *(better ranging?)* Envelope of pulsars (*P*~hours - 100 days)

Lunar laser ranging (*P*~month) (2038 line requires replacing the mirrors ...may/will happen before 2030!)

Murphy 1309.6294

Possible backgrounds



# Our estimates (solid: today; dashed 2038)



Blas&Jenkins Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 10, 101103

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Our estimates (solid: today; dashed 2038)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

Blas&Jenkins Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 10, 101103

Satellites (P~hours)

Envelope of pulsars (P~hours - 100 days)

Lunar laser ranging (*P*~month) (2038 line requires replacing the mirrors ...may/will happen before 2030!)

Murphy 1309.6294

We may see the signal of PTAs!!!

in 2050  $\Omega \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ at } f \sim \mu \text{Hz}$ 

- ····· NANOGrav
- SMBBHs
- FOPTs
- SMBH mimickers

 $10^{2}$ 

····· Ultralight bosons

Possible backgrounds

![](_page_30_Figure_15.jpeg)

# Further ideas in the Solar system

Optimised satellite: Blas, Jenkins, Turyshev (Proposal to NASA FunPAG)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

Doppler Tracking of Spacecrafts: Armstrong, less, Tortora and Bertotti 03

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

Future missions? Zwick, Souyer, O'Neill, Derdzinski, Saha, D'Orazio, Blas, Jenkins, Kelley (2406.02306)

### Wide binaries??

![](_page_31_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_10.jpeg)

Exploit other resonances of the Solar System (also rotation)

![](_page_31_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

log<sub>10</sub>(frequency, Hz)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

### tem Wide binaries?? tems: +79 3888 **Oort Cloud** α-Centauri AC 1,000,000 100 1.000 10,000 100,000 Blas&Jenkins 2022 Pluto KBOs $10^{-9}$ $10^{-6}$ $10^{-10}$ $10^{-7}$ $10^{-8}$ $f/{ m Hz}$ Wide binaries?? s of the Solar System (also rotation)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

### le of chaotic resonances in the System

![](_page_32_Figure_6.jpeg)

# GWs with orbits in the Solar System

- The resonant absorption of GWs by binaries (LLR/SLR) gives a new handle to detect gravitational waves at very competitive levels
- The  $\mu$ Hz band is very rich for **astrophysical** and **cosmological** sources
  - $\Omega_{\rm gw} \ge 4.8 \times 10^{-9} \quad f = 0.85 \,\mu {\rm Hz}$

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

Future plans: better analysis. New mirror in the Moon? New optimised satellites?

$$\Omega_{\rm gw} \ge 8.3 \times 10^{-9}$$
  $f = 0.15 \,\mathrm{m}$ 

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Characteristic strain**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

dblas@ifae.es

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Monochromatic sources

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Credit:Herrero-Valea

(work in progress:

Blas, Bourguin, Foster, Hees, Herrero, Jenkins, Xue)

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)